

United States Patent and Trademark Office



DATE MAILED: 07/09/2002



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 10014774 -1 12/13/2001 Arlen L. Roesner 8101 10/017,543 7590 07/09/2002 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY EXAMINER Intellectual Property Administration CHERVINSKY, BORIS LEO P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2835

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/017,543	ROESNER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Boris L. Chervinsky	2835
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely, from the mailing date of this communication, ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 L	<u>December 2001</u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers	, -	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 December 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	19(e) (to a provisional application).
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachment(s)	F	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4	5) Notice of Inform	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2835

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 4 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 4 and 15 recite indefinite term "thin" which has not been defined in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 4. Claims 1-4, 6-9, 22-25, 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Green et al.

Green discloses the assembly comprising a thermal interface disposed between a heat sink 42 and a heat generating electronic component 41, the thermal interface having a carrier 44 made of either metal foil or thermally conductive plastic sheet, the carrier having a layer of a phase-change material on one side and a layer of a pliable thermal compound on the other side 45, 46; the paraffin-based phase change materials and

Art Unit: 2835

thermally conductive grease compounds are widely used and known as indicated in the "Background Of The Invention" section of the cited prior art reference.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 5 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Green et al.

Green discloses the claimed invention except for specific materials such as copper, gold, silver and aluminum. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have carrier made of these materials, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

7. Claims 10, 11, 13-15, 17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Green et al. in view of Tzeng et al.

Green discloses the claimed invention except a removable protective cover. Tzeng et al. disclose the thermal interface having a pressure sensitive layer 13 covered by a removable protective cover. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use removable protective layer as

Art Unit: 2835

disclosed by Tzeng in the structure disclosed by Green as to protect the pliable thermal compound layer prior to installation.

8. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Green et al. in view of Tzeng et al.

Green discloses the claimed invention except for specific materials such as copper, gold, silver and aluminum. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have carrier made of these materials, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

9. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Green et al. in view of Tzeng, as applied to claim 10, and further in view of Lee et al.

Green discloses the claimed invention except a removable protective cap. Lee discloses the removable protective cap 56 to protect thermal grease 40 prior to installation. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the protective removable cap as disclosed by Lee et al. in the device disclosed by Green et al. in order to protect pliable surface or thermal grease.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Boris L. Chervinsky whose telephone number is 703-308-5429. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5.



Art Unit: 2835

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren E. Schuberg can be reached on 703-308-4815. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-5115.

Boris L. Chervinsky, Patent Examiner

July 8, 2002